

STABLE EXISTENCE OF INCOMPRESSIBLE 3-MANIFOLDS IN 4-MANIFOLDS

QAYUM KHAN AND GERRIT SMITH

ABSTRACT. Given an injective amalgam at the level of fundamental groups and a specific 3-manifold, is there a corresponding geometric-topological decomposition of a given 4-manifold, in a stable sense? We find an algebraic-topological splitting criterion in terms of the orientation classes and universal covers. Also, we equivariantly generalize the Lickorish–Wallace theorem to regular covers.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we shall develop a cutting tool to better understand the stable geometric topology of 4-dimensional manifolds. Here, **stable** means diffeomorphism up to connected sums with finitely many copies of $S^2 \times S^2$, which is ‘inert’ at levels of fundamental group, signature, and spin. Just like in homotopy theory, the stable classification is more tractible and reflects what properties of a space persist in the long run. The above stabilization bypasses hangups with the Whitney trick [CS71, 2.1], which in higher dimensions allows for mirroring between topology and algebra.

1.1. Bistable results. Our results on stable embeddings vary according to the so-called w_2 -type of the 4-manifold. So we first consider a weaker equivalence relation. We call 4-manifolds **bistably diffeomorphic** if they become diffeomorphic after connecting sum each with finitely many copies of the complex-projective plane $\mathbb{C}P_2$ (nonspin) and its orientation-reversal $\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2$. For any oriented 4-manifold X , denote

$$X(r) := X \# r(S^2 \times S^2) \quad X(a, b) := X \# a(\mathbb{C}P_2) \# b(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2).$$

Stable implies bistable, as $(S^2 \times S^2) \# (\mathbb{C}P_2) \approx 2(\mathbb{C}P_2) \# (\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2)$ [Wal64, Cor 1, Lem 1].

Given a nonempty connected CW-complex A , by a continuous map $u : A \rightarrow B\Gamma$ **classifying the universal cover** \tilde{A} , we mean the induced map $u_\#$ on fundamental groups is an isomorphism, for some basepoints. The map u is uniquely determined up to homotopy and composition with self-homotopy equivalences $B\alpha : B\Gamma \rightarrow B\Gamma$ for all automorphisms α of Γ . By a connected subcomplex being **incompressible**, we shall mean that the inclusion induces a monomorphism on fundamental groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a oriented closed smooth 4-manifold. Let $c : X \rightarrow BG$ classify its universal cover. Let X_0 be a connected oriented closed 3-manifold with fundamental group G_0 . Suppose $G = G_- *_{G_0} G_+$ with $G_0 \subset G_\pm$. There exists an incompressible embedding of X_0 in some bistabilization $X(a, b)$ inducing the given injective amalgamation of fundamental groups, if and only if there exists a map $d : X_0 \rightarrow BG_0$ classifying its universal cover and satisfying the equation*

$$(1.1) \quad d_*[X_0] = \partial c_*[X] \in H_3(G_0; \mathbb{Z}),$$

with ∂ the boundary in a Mayer–Vietoris sequence in group homology [Bro94, III:6a].

The simplest case of $G_0 = 1$ was done transparently by J Hillman [Hil95], whose hands-on approach with direct manipulation of handles we generalize in this paper.

Corollary 1.2 (Hillman). *Let X be a connected orientable closed smooth 4-manifold whose fundamental group is a free product $G_- * G_+$. Some bistabilization $X(a, b)$ is diffeomorphic to a connected sum $X_- \# X_+$ with X_\pm of fundamental group G_\pm .*

Similarly, when $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, note X is bistably diffeomorphic to some $X_- \cup_{S^1 \times S^2} X_+$.

Proof. Here $G_0 = 1$, hence $H_3(G_0) = 0$. Take $X_0 = S^3$ and d the constant map. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.1 generalizes Hillman's strategy for proving Corollary 1.2 and employs an equivariant generalization of the Lickorish–Wallace theorem (§2). Theorem 2.1 is a bordism version that slides 1-handles then does Wallace's trick. Wallace's proof of Corollary 2.2 relied upon the Rohlin–Thom theorem ($\Omega_3^{SO} = 0$).

1.2. Stable results. Shortly after Hillman's result, Kreck–Lück–Teichner offered an alternative proof, using Kreck's machinery of modified surgery theory [Kre99]. They were able to replace bistabilization with stabilization, due to a careful analysis of w_2 -types and triviality of 3-plane bundles over embedded 2-spheres in certain 5-dimensional cobordisms [KLT95b]. In general, stabilization is required [KLT95a]. Regarding the removal of $S^2 \times S^2$ factors (destabilization), see [HK93] and [Kha17].

Recall that a **(stable) spin structure** s on a smooth oriented manifold M is a homotopy-commutative diagram (reduction of structure groups in [LM89, II:1.3]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B\text{Spin} \\ & \nearrow s & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\tau_M} & BSO. \end{array}$$

Theorem 1.3 (totally nonspin). *Let X be a oriented closed smooth 4-manifold whose universal cover has no spin structure. Let $c : X \rightarrow BG$ classify this cover. Let X_0 be a connected oriented closed 3-manifold with fundamental group G_0 . Suppose $G = G_- *_{G_0} G_+$ with $G_0 \subset G_\pm$. There exists an incompressible embedding of X_0 in some $X(r)$ inducing the given injective amalgam of fundamental groups, if and only if there is $d : X_0 \rightarrow BG_0$ classifying its universal cover satisfying (1.1).*

Any oriented manifold has a spin structure if and only if w_2 of its tangent bundle vanishes [LM89]. So any oriented 3-manifold has a spin structure (as $w_2 = v_2 = 0$).

Theorem 1.4 (spinnable). *Let X be a oriented closed smooth 4-manifold that admits some spin structure. Let $c : X \rightarrow BG$ classify the universal cover. Let X_0 be a connected oriented closed 3-manifold with fundamental group G_0 . Suppose $G = G_- *_{G_0} G_+$ with $G_0 \subset G_\pm$. There exists an incompressible embedding of X_0 in a stabilization $X(r)$ inducing the given injective amalgam of fundamental groups, if and only if there exist a map $d : X_0 \rightarrow BG_0$ classifying its universal cover and spin structures s on X and t on X_0 satisfying, with transverse $M := c^{-1}(BG_0)$:*

$$(1.2) \quad [X_0, t, d] = \partial[X, s, c] := [M, s|M, c|M] \in \Omega_3^{\text{Spin}}(BG_0),$$

with ∂ the boundary map in a Mayer–Vietoris sequence in spin bordism [CF64, 5.7].

Observe that (1.2) is a lift of (1.1), via the cobordism–Hurewicz homomorphism

$$\Omega_3^{\text{Spin}}(BG_0) \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} \Omega_3^{SO}(BG_0) \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} H_3(BG_0) ; [M, \sigma, f] \mapsto [M, f] \mapsto f_*[M].$$

Finally, we generalize Theorem 1.4 to only require that \tilde{X} admits a spin structure. In order to understand the more delicate criterion, we state a lemma and definition.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $u : Y \rightarrow B\Gamma$ classify the universal cover of an oriented connected smooth manifold Y . The universal cover \tilde{Y} admits a spin structure if and only if there is a unique class $w_2^u \in H^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ satisfying the equation $w_2(TY) = u^*(w_2^u)$.*

The secondary characteristic class w_2^u will vanish if Y admits a spin structure. The following definition is rather delicate due to two explicit choices of homotopies. For $H : A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow B$ and $a \in A$, the **a -track** is $H^a := (t \mapsto H(a, t)) \in B^{[0, 1]}$.

Definition 1.6. Let Y be an oriented connected smooth manifold whose universal cover \tilde{Y} admits a spin structure. Let $u : Y \rightarrow B\Gamma$ classify the universal cover. Fix homotopy representatives $\tau_Y : Y \rightarrow BSO$, $w_2 : BSO \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$, $w_2^u : B\Gamma \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$. By Lemma 1.5, there is a homotopy η from $w_2 \circ \tau_Y$ to $w_2^u \circ u$. Suppose $\Gamma = \Gamma_- *_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_+$ with $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_{\pm}$. Write $i_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma$ for the inclusion homomorphism. Assume $Bi_0 : B\Gamma_0 \rightarrow B\Gamma$ is the inclusion of a bicollared subspace, with u transverse to $B\Gamma_0$. If there exists a nulhomotopy θ of the map $w_2^u \circ Bi_0$, then we define the *induced spin structure* s_η^θ on the submanifold $N := u^{-1}(B\Gamma_0)$ of Y by

$$s_\eta^\theta : N \rightarrow B\text{Spin} ; x \mapsto \left(\tau_Y(x), \eta^x * \theta^{u(x)} \right),$$

where we identify $B\text{Spin}$ with the homotopy fiber of w_2 and $*$ denotes join of paths.

We arrive at a generalization of Theorem 1.4 which further requires $i_0^*(w_2^c) = 0$.

Theorem 1.7 (pre-spinnable). *Let X be a oriented closed smooth 4-manifold whose universal cover admits a spin structure. Let $c : X \rightarrow BG$ classify this cover. Let X_0 be a connected oriented closed 3-manifold with fundamental group G_0 . Suppose $G = G_- *_{G_0} G_+$ with $G_0 \subset G_{\pm}$. There exists an incompressible embedding of X_0 in some $X(r)$ inducing the given injective amalgam of fundamental groups, if and only if there exist a map $d : X_0 \rightarrow BG_0$ classifying its universal cover and a spin structure t on X_0 and a nulhomotopy θ of $w_2^c \circ Bi_0$ satisfying, with $M := c^{-1}(BG_0)$:*

$$(1.3) \quad [X_0, t, d] = [M, s_\eta^\theta, c|M] \in \Omega_3^{\text{Spin}}(BG_0).$$

The special case [KLT95b] is now a mutual corollary of Theorems 1.3 and 1.7.

Corollary 1.8 (Kreck–Lück–Teichner). *Let X be a nonempty connected orientable closed smooth 4-manifold whose fundamental group is a free product $G_- * G_+$. Some $X(r)$ is diffeomorphic to a sum $X_- \# X_+$ with each X_{\pm} of fundamental group G_{\pm} .*

Proof. Here $G_0 = 1$, so $H_3(G_0) = 0 = \Omega_3^{\text{Spin}}(BG_0)$. Take $X_0 = S^3$, d constant. \square

Albeit that Kreck’s modified surgery theory [Kre99] is a powerful formalism, by which we were inspired and against which we checked our progress, we sought to write this paper from first principles, to be accessible to low-dimensional topologists. In particular, we avoid ‘subtraction of solid tori’ and ‘stable s -cobordism theorem.’

2. SURGERY ON A LINK AND REGULAR COVERS

We generalize the notion of classifying a universal cover. For a nonempty connected CW-complex A , a continuous map $u : A \rightarrow B\Gamma$ **classifies a regular cover** means that the induced map $u_{\#}$ on fundamental groups is an epimorphism, for a choice of basepoints. The (connected) regular cover \hat{A} corresponds to the kernel of $u_{\#}$, and its covering group is identified with Γ , which acts transitively on the fibers.

2.1. Oriented version. This development is used to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M and M' be connected oriented closed 3-manifolds. Let $f : M \rightarrow B\Gamma$ and $f' : M' \rightarrow B\Gamma$ classify regular covers. Then there exists a framed oriented link L in M that transforms (M, f) into (M', f') by surgery if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad f_*[M] = f'_*[M'] \in H_3(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}).$$

In other words, this is an algebraic-topological criterion for whether or not there is a link in M whose preimage in \widehat{M} has a Γ -equivariant surgery resulting in \widehat{M}' .

The original version is simply without reference maps; see [Wal60] and [Lic62].

Corollary 2.2 (Lickorish–Wallace). *Any nonempty connected oriented closed 3-manifold N is the result of surgery on a framed oriented link L in the 3-sphere.*

Lickorish also obtained each component is unknotted with ± 1 Dehn coefficients.

Proof. Here $\Gamma = 1$, $M = S^3$, $M' = N$. Note $B\Gamma$ is a point, hence $H_3(\Gamma) = 0$. \square

Here is a more general, technical version of Theorem 2.1 that we shall use later.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M and M' be connected oriented closed 3-manifolds, and let B be a connected CW-complex. Suppose $f : M \rightarrow B$ and $f' : M' \rightarrow B$ are continuous maps that induce epimorphisms on fundamental groups, for some basepoints. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad f_*[M] = f'_*[M'] \in H_3(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

if and only if there is a 4-dimensional smooth connected oriented compact bordism

$$(F; f, f') : (W; M, M') \rightarrow (B \times [0, 1]; B \times \{0\}, B \times \{1\})$$

such that W has no 1-handles with respect to M and no 1-handles with respect to M' , for a certain handle decomposition of the 4-dimensional cobordism $(W; M, M')$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Lemma 2.3, use only 2-handles: surger along a link. \square

The argument below, after the preliminary three paragraphs, can be perceived in two geometric steps, even though it is combined into a single surgical move. The first step is to *slide 1-handles*, along with the map data, so that they become trivial. The second step is a *reference-maps version* of Wallace’s trick to exchange oriented 1-handles for trivial 2-handles [Wal60, 5.1]. (If $\dim M > 3$, see [RS72, 6.15] and subsequent remark to replace 1-handles for 3-handles in certain cobordisms on M .)

Proof of Lemma 2.3. \Leftarrow is due to $\Omega_3^{SO}(B) \cong H_3(B)$. Consider the \Rightarrow direction.

Clearly $\Omega_0^{SO} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Omega_1^{SO} = \Omega_2^{SO} = 0$; recall that $\Omega_3^{SO} = 0$ by Rohlin–Thom [Tho54, IV.13]. Then note, for the CW-complex B , by the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence, that the cobordism-Hurewicz map is an isomorphism:

$$\Omega_3^{SO}(B) \rightarrow H_3(B) \quad ; \quad [M, f : M \rightarrow B] \mapsto f_*[M].$$

Thus the criterion (2.2) transforms into the equation: $[M, f] = [M', f'] \in \Omega_3^{SO}(B)$.

In other words, there exists a 4-dimensional smooth oriented compact bordism

$$(F_0; f, f') : (W_0; M, M') \rightarrow (B \times [0, 1]; B \times \{0\}, B \times \{1\}).$$

Since M and M' are connected, by joining their two possibly different components in W_0 via connected sum and ignoring the rest, we may assume that W_0 is connected. Hence, in the handle decomposition of a Morse function $(W_0; M, M') \rightarrow ([0, 1]; \{0\}, \{1\})$, W_0 has no 0-handles with respect to M and no 0-handles with

respect to M' . Therefore, it remains to eliminate the 1-handles of W_0 with respect to M and M' . For simplicity of notation, we assume that W_0 has a single 1-handle.

Let $h : (D^1 \times D^3, S^0 \times D^3) \rightarrow (W_0, M)$ be the 1-handle, preserving orientation. Since M is connected, there is a path $\alpha_0 : [-1, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $\alpha_0(\pm 1) = h(\mp 1, 0)$. Concatenation yields a loop $\beta_0 = h(-, 0) * \alpha_0 : S^1 \rightarrow W_0$. Since $f_\#$ is an epimorphism, there exists a loop $\beta : (S^1, 1) \rightarrow (M, \alpha_0(1))$ such that $f_\#[\beta] = F_{0\#}[\beta_0]$. By general position, there is a normally framed embedded arc $\alpha_1 : [-1, 1] \times D^2 \rightarrow M$ such that $\alpha_1(\pm 1, 0) = h(\mp 1, 0)$ and $\alpha_1(-, 0)$ is homotopic rel boundary to $\alpha_0 * \beta^{-1}$.

Push off the 1-handle core $h(-, 0)$ to obtain a normally framed embedded arc $h_0 : [-1, 1] \times D^2 \rightarrow M'$. A matching isotopy takes α_1 to $\alpha'_1 : [-1, 1] \times D^2 \rightarrow M'$ with $\alpha'_1(\pm 1) = h_1(\mp 1, 0)$. Concatenation yields a normally framed embedded loop

$$\lambda := \{h_0(-, r) * \alpha'_1(-, r)\}_{r \in D^2} : S^1 \times D^2 \rightarrow M'.$$

Write $W_1 := M' \times [0, 1] \cup_\lambda D^2 \times D^2$ for the trace of the surgery along λ in M' . Since $F_0 \circ \lambda(-, 0)$ is nullhomotopic, choose a nullhomotopy to yield a bordism

$$(F_1; f', f'') : (W_1; M', M'') \rightarrow (B \times [0, 1]; B \times \{0\}, B \times \{1\}).$$

Observe that $\overline{W_1}$ is the trace of surgery along the framed belt sphere $S^1 \times D^2 \hookrightarrow M''$. By the cancellation lemma [RS72, 6.4], $W_0 \cup_{M'} W_1$ is diffeomorphic to $M \times [0, 2]$ relative to $M \times \{0\}$. In particular, there is $\delta : M \approx M''$ with $f'' \circ \delta \simeq f$. Write $W'_0 := M \times [0, 2] \cup_\delta \overline{W_1}$. So we have a new bordism with h replaced by a 2-handle:

$$(\delta \cup_{f''} F_1; f, f') : (W'_0; M, M') \rightarrow (B \times [0, 1]; B \times \{0\}, B \times \{1\}).$$

By iteration, we kill all 1-handles of W'_0 relative to M . Similarly, repeat relative to M' . Thus, we obtain the desired bordism (W, F) with only 2-handles rel M . \square

2.2. Spin version. We shall need this development to prove Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M, s) and (M', s') be spin closed 3-manifolds. Let $f : M \rightarrow B\Gamma$ and $f' : M' \rightarrow B\Gamma$ classify regular covers. There exists a framed oriented link L in M that transforms (M, s, f) into (M', s', f') by a spin bordism if and only if*

$$(2.3) \quad [M, s, f] = [M', s', f'] \in \Omega_3^{\text{Spin}}(B\Gamma).$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let (M, s) and (M', s') be connected spin closed 3-manifolds, and let B be a connected CW-complex. Suppose $f : M \rightarrow B$ and $f' : M' \rightarrow B$ are continuous maps that induce epimorphisms on fundamental groups. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad [M, s, f] = [M', s', f'] \in \Omega_3^{\text{Spin}}(B)$$

if and only if there is a 4-dimensional smooth connected spin compact bordism

$$(F; f, f') : (W, t; M, s, M', s') \rightarrow (B \times [0, 1]; B \times \{0\}, B \times \{1\})$$

such that W has no 1-handles with respect to M and no 1-handles with respect to M' , for a certain handle decomposition of the 4-dimensional cobordism $(W; M, M')$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By Lemma 2.5, use only 2-handles: surger along a link. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.5. The \Leftarrow implication is obvious. Consider the \Rightarrow implication.

Recall the proof of Lemma 2.3. We reconstruct W_1 to admit a spin structure extending the spin structure $\overline{s'}$ on $\partial_- W_1 = \overline{M'}$, since by gluing along M'' this will induce a spin structure on W'_0 extending the spin structure $\overline{s} \sqcup s'$ on $\partial W'_0 = \overline{M} \sqcup M'$.

Since $H^{i+1}(M'; \pi_i(\text{Spin}_3)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$, by obstruction theory, the spin structure s' lifts to a framing ϕ of the tangent bundle TM' . The sole obstruction to extending the stable framing $\phi \oplus \text{id}$ of $TM' \oplus \mathbb{R}$ to the tangent bundle τ of W_1 is

$$\mathfrak{o}(\tau) \in H^2(W_1, M'; \pi_1(SO_4)) = H^2(D^2, S^1; \pi_1(SO_4)) = \pi_1(SO_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Let $\eta \in \pi_1(SO_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Reframe the normal bundle of the surgery circle $\lambda(-, 0)$ as

$$\lambda^\eta : S^1 \times D^2 \longrightarrow M' ; (z, r) \longmapsto \lambda(z, \eta_z(r)).$$

Write $W_1^\eta := M' \times [0, 1] \cup_{\lambda^\eta} D^2 \times D^2$ with tangent bundle τ^η . By [KM63, Lemma 6.1],

$$\mathfrak{o}(\tau^\eta) = \mathfrak{o}(\tau) + \sigma_\#(\eta) \in \pi_1(SO_4),$$

where $\sigma : SO_2 \longrightarrow SO_4$ denotes the inclusion. Since the induced map $\sigma_\#$ on fundamental groups is surjective, find η so that τ^η has a framing extending $\phi \oplus \text{id}$. Hence W_1^η has a spin structure extending $\overline{s'}$ on its lower oriented boundary $\overline{M'}$.

By gluing, we obtain an induced spin structure on $W_0 \cup_{M'} W_1^\eta \approx M \times [0, 2]$ relative to $M \times \{0\}$. Modifying Proof 2.3, redefine $W'_0 := M \times [0, 2] \cup_{\delta^\eta} \overline{W_1^\eta}$ with spin structure the union of this one and the orientation-reversal of the one on W_1^η . Therefore, the spin structure on W'_0 restricts to $\overline{s} \sqcup s'$ on $\partial W'_0 = \overline{M} \sqcup M'$. \square

3. AMBIENT SURGERY ON PAIRS OF POINTS

Let $G = G_- *_{G_0} G_+$ be an injective amalgam of groups. The corresponding **double mapping cylinder model** of its classifying space is the homotopy colimit

$$(3.1) \quad BG := BG_- \cup_{BG_0 \times \{-1\}} BG_0 \times [-1, +1] \cup_{BG_0 \times \{+1\}} BG_+$$

with respect to the maps $BG_0 \longrightarrow BG_\pm$ induced from the inclusions $G_0 \longrightarrow G_\pm$.

Akin to Stallings's thesis, here is a folklore fact proven in [Bow99, 1.1] (cf. [BS90]).

Theorem 3.1 (Bowditch). *If G and G_0 are finitely presented, so are G_- and G_+ .*

Instead of G_0 being finitely presented, the proof of the next statement can work assuming G_-, G_0, G_+ are finitely generated, but we prefer the former hypothesis.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a connected oriented closed smooth 4-manifold. Suppose $f : X \longrightarrow BG$ classifies a regular cover. Assume G_0 is finitely presented. Then f can be re-chosen up to homotopy so that: f is transverse to the bicollared subspace $BG_0 \times \{0\}$ in the model (3.1), the 3-submanifold preimage M in X is connected, and the restriction $f : M \longrightarrow BG_0$ also classifies a regular cover.*

This is proven after three lemmas. The first is an apparatus to recalibrate paths.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a connected oriented smooth n -manifold with $n > 2$. Consider a space $B = B_- \cup_{B_0} B_+$ with $B, B_\pm - B_0$ path-connected and $B_0 = B_- \cap B_+$. Suppose $f : X \longrightarrow B$ is π_1 -surjective. Assume $\pi_1(B_\pm - B_0)$ are finitely generated, by r_\pm elements. There are disjoint 1-handlebodies $\Lambda_\pm \approx \#r_\pm(S^1 \times D^{n-1}) \subset X$ and $f' : X \longrightarrow B$ homotopic to f having π_1 -surjective restrictions $f' : \Lambda_\pm \longrightarrow B_\pm - B_0$.*

Proof. We may homotope f so that its image contains some points b_\pm in $B_\pm - B_0$. Then there are $x_\pm \in X$ such that $f(x_\pm) = b_\pm$. There are based loops $\mu_\pm^1, \dots, \mu_\pm^{r_\pm} : (S^1, 1) \longrightarrow (B_\pm - B_0, b_\pm)$ whose based homotopy classes generate $\pi_1(B_\pm - B_0, b_\pm)$. Since $f_\# : \pi_1(X, x_\pm) \longrightarrow \pi_1(B, b_\pm)$ is surjective and $n > 2$, there exist disjoint smoothly embedded based loops $\lambda_\pm^1, \dots, \lambda_\pm^{r_\pm} : (S^1, 1) \longrightarrow (X, x_\pm)$ and a based homotopy $H_\pm^i : S^1 \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow B$ from $f \circ \lambda_\pm^i$ to μ_\pm^i . Since X is oriented, for each

i , there is a tubular neighborhood Λ_i^\pm of $\lambda_i^\pm(S^1)$ and a diffeomorphism $\Lambda_i^\pm \approx S^1 \times D^{n-1}$. Taking the radii of the tubes sufficiently small, we find that the Λ_i^\pm pairwise intersect in a fixed D^n -neighborhood of x_\pm . Thus we obtain disjoint embeddings of $\#r_-(S^1 \times D^{n-1})$ and $\#r_+(S^1 \times D^{n-1})$ in X , say with images called Λ_- and Λ_+ .

Finally, using these NDR neighborhoods Λ_\pm of $\bigvee_i \lambda_\pm^i$ and specific homotopies $\bigvee_i H_\pm^i$ as the data for the homotopy extension property [Bre97, Theorem VII:1.5], we obtain a homotopy $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow B$ from f to a map f' such that $f' \circ \lambda_\pm^i = \mu_\pm^i$. Hence $f'(\Lambda_\pm) \subset B_\pm - B_0$ and $(f'|_{\Lambda_\pm})_\# \pi_1(\Lambda_\pm, x_\pm) = \pi_1(B_\pm - B_0, b_\pm)$. \square

Given a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow B$ from a smooth manifold X to a topological space B , and given a subspace B_0 that admits a tubular neighborhood $E(\xi) \subset B$, W Browder defines f to be **transverse to B_0** to mean that the conclusion of the implicit-function theorem holds: the preimage $X_0 = f^{-1}(B_0)$ is a smooth submanifold of X with normal bundle $\nu(X_0 \hookrightarrow X) = (f|_{X_0})^*(\xi)$ [Bro72, II:§2]. Since the proof is omitted for Browder's generalization [Bro72, II:2.1] of Thom's transversality theorem [Tho54, I:5], we give details for the trivial line bundle $\xi = \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map from a smooth manifold to a space B . For any **bicollared subspace** B_0 of B (i.e., B_0 has a neighborhood in B homeomorphic to $B_0 \times \mathbb{R}$), there exists a map $f' : X \rightarrow B$ transverse to B_0 and homotopic to f , relative to the complement of an open neighborhood of $f^{-1}(B_0)$.*

Proof. We have an open embedding $\beta : B_0 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$ with $\beta(B_0 \times \{0\}) = B_0 \subset B$. Write $N \subset B$ for the image of β , and write $\pi_2 : B_0 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the projection. Note $f^{-1}(N) \subset X$ is a smooth manifold, since it is an open set in a smooth manifold. By Whitney's approximation theorem [Bre97, II:11.7], the C^0 function $\pi_2 \circ \beta^{-1} \circ f : f^{-1}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is 0.5-close to a C^∞ function $g : f^{-1}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define $H : f^{-1}(N) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow B$; $(x, t) \mapsto \beta((\pi_1 \beta^{-1} f)(x), (1-t)(\pi_2 \beta^{-1} f)(x) + tg(x))$.

Note H is a homotopy from $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ to a map $f' := H(-, 1) : f^{-1}(N) \rightarrow B$. Then f' is transverse to B_0 with $(f')^{-1}(B_0) = g^{-1}\{0\}$ a smooth submanifold of X ; where by Sard's theorem and a tiny homotopy, we assume 0 is a regular value of g .

It remains to extend H to $X \times [0, 1]$ so that $H(x, t) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X - N$. Using explicit formulas derived from the tubular neighborhood structure β , this is achieved by the homotopy extension property for the neighborhood deformation retract $f^{-1}\beta(B_0 \times [-1, 1]) \sqcup (X - N)$ closed in the T_4 space X ; see [Bre97, Theorem VII:1.5]. The desired map $f' : X \rightarrow B$ is again $H(-, 1)$ of this extension. \square

We perform *1-handle exchanges in dimension 4* by an obstruction-theoretic argument. This is not in the literature, but see [Hem76, p67] and [Cap76, Lemma I:3]. Recall the **frontier** $\text{Fr}_X(A) := \text{Cl}_X(A) \cap \text{Cl}_X(X - A)$ for $A \subset X$, a topological space.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map from a smooth 4-manifold to a path-connected space B , transverse to a path-connected separating subspace B_0 of $B = B_- \cup_{B_0} B_+$. Decompose $X = X_- \cup_{X_0} X_+$ by the f -preimages. Let $\alpha : (D^1, \partial D^1) \rightarrow (X_\pm, X_0)$ be a smoothly embedded arc with $[f \circ \alpha] = 0 \in \pi_1(B_\pm, B_0)$. Suppose $\pi_3(B_\mp) = 0 = \pi_4(B)$. Then f is homotopic to a B_0 -transverse map $g : X \rightarrow B$ whose preimage of B_0 is the result of adding a 1-handle with core α . Namely, for some open-tubular neighborhood $U \approx D^1 \times D^3$ of the arc α in X_\pm :*

$$g^{-1}(B_0) = (X_0 \cup \text{Fr}_X U) - (X_0 \cap U).$$

Proof. Let T be a closed-tubular neighborhood of $\alpha(D^1)$ in X_\pm . There is a framing diffeomorphism $\phi : (D^1 \times D^3, \partial D^1 \times D^3) \longrightarrow (T, T \cap X_0)$ with $\phi(s, 0) = \alpha(s)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} O &:= \phi \{ (s, x) \in D^1 \times D^3 \mid \frac{2}{3} \leq \|x\| \leq 1 \} \\ M &:= \phi \{ (s, x) \in D^1 \times D^3 \mid \frac{1}{3} \leq \|x\| \leq \frac{2}{3} \} \\ I &:= \phi \{ (s, x) \in D^1 \times D^3 \mid 0 \leq \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{3} \}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a decomposition of $T = O \cup M \cup I$ into three closed subsets. Define a map

$$g : O \longrightarrow B_\pm ; \phi(s, x) \longmapsto (f \circ \phi)(s, (3\|x\| - 2)x).$$

Since $[f \circ \alpha] = 0 \in \pi_1(B_\pm, B_0)$, there exists a map $H : D^1 \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow B_\pm$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} H(s, 1) &= (f \circ \alpha)(s) \quad \forall s \in D^1 \\ H(\pm 1, t) &= \alpha(\pm 1) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \\ H^{-1}(B_0) &= \partial D^1 \times [0, 1] \cup D^1 \times \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the pasting lemma, we can extend g from O to $O \cup M$ by

$$g : M \longrightarrow B_\pm ; \phi(s, x) \longmapsto H(s, 3\|x\| - 1).$$

Next, there exist both a neighborhood C of the attaching 0-sphere $\alpha(\partial D^1)$ in X_\mp and a diffeomorphism $\psi : \partial D^1 \times D^4_- \longrightarrow X_\mp$ such that $\psi|_{\partial D^1 \times D^3_0} = \phi|_{\partial D^1 \times D^3}$. Extend g from $\text{Fr}_{X_0} T = \phi(\partial D^1 \times \partial D^3)$ to $\text{Fr}_{X_\mp} C = \psi(\partial D^1 \times \partial_- D^4_-)$ by $g = f$. Then g is defined on the ‘riveted’ 3-sphere $S := \text{Fr}_X(C \cup I)$. Since $g(S) \subset B_\mp$ and $\pi_3(B_\mp) = 0$, we may extend g to the ‘riveted’ 4-disc $C \cup I$; using the collar of B_0 in B_\mp , we can guarantee that $g(C \cup I - S) \subset B_\mp - B_0$. Lastly, extend g to the complement $X - (C \cup T)$ by $g = f$. Therefore $g : X \longrightarrow B$ is transverse to B_0 with

$$g^{-1}(B_0) = (X_0 - I) \cup (M \cap I).$$

Finally, note $\text{Fr}_X(C \cup T)$ is a 3-sphere in X , so we obtain a 4-sphere $X \times [0, 1]$:

$$\Sigma := (C \cup T) \times \{0\} \cup \text{Fr}_X(C \cup T) \times [0, 1] \cup (C \cup T) \times \{1\}.$$

Since $\pi_4(B) = 0$, we may fill in $(f \circ \text{proj}_X)|_\Sigma$ to obtain a homotopy from f to g . \square

We adapt to dimension 4, and simplify, ‘arc-chasing’ arguments of [Hem76, p67] and [Cap76, p88]. Further, we generalize the sliding of 1-handles trick of Proof 2.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. By Theorem 3.1 and by Lemma 3.3 with respect to the model (3.1), we homotope f so that there are disjointly embedded 1-handlebodies $\Lambda_\pm \approx \#r_\pm(S^1 \times D^3) \subset X$ satisfying $f(\Lambda_\pm) \subset BG_\pm - BG_0$ and $f_\# \pi_1(\Lambda_\pm, x_\pm) = G_\pm$. Hence $f(\Lambda_- \sqcup \Lambda_+)$ is disjoint from the bicollar neighborhood $BG_0 \times [-1, 1]$ in BG . Next, by Lemma 3.4, we further re-choose f up to homotopy relative to $\Lambda_- \sqcup \Lambda_+$ so that f is also transverse to $BG_0 \times \{0\}$, say with f -preimage K . Write V_\pm for the X -closure of the path-component neighborhood of Λ_\pm in the open subset $X - K$.

Assume that $V_+ \cap K$ has at least two components, say $K_0 \sqcup K_1$. Since V_+ is connected, there is a properly and smoothly embedded arc $\alpha : [0, 1] \longrightarrow V_+$ satisfying: $\alpha(i) \in K_i$ if $0 \leq i \leq 1$, $\alpha(\frac{1}{2})$ is near-but-not x_+ , and $\alpha^{-1}(\dot{V}_+) = (0, 1)$.

Since the composite map $\pi_1(\Lambda_+) \xrightarrow{f_\#} \pi_1(BG_+) \longrightarrow \pi_1(BG_+, BG_0)$ is surjective, upon midpoint-concatenation of some based loop $(S^1, 1) \longrightarrow (\partial \Lambda_+, \alpha(\frac{1}{2}))$, we may assume that $[f \circ \alpha] = 0 \in \pi_1(BG_+, BG_0)$. Then, by Lemma 3.5, we re-choose f up to homotopy relative $\Lambda_- \sqcup \Lambda_+$ so that the new component neighborhood V_+ of Λ_+ in

$X - f^{-1}(BG_0)$ contains $K_0 \# K_1$. Since X is compact, so is K , so we repeat finitely many steps until $V_+ \cap K$ becomes connected. Similarly, make $V_- \cap K$ connected.

Write $L := V_- \cap V_+$, a connected 3-submanifold of X . Let $x_0 \in L$. Assume there exists $x_1 \in K - L$. Since X is connected, there exists a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ from x_0 to x_1 . Define $s_0 := \sup \gamma^{-1}(V_- \cup V_+)$. Since $0 < s_0 < 1$, we must have $\gamma(s_0) \in \text{Fr}_X(V_-) \cup \text{Fr}_X(V_+) = L$. Then $\gamma(s_0)$ is in the interior of $V_- \cup V_+$. So there exists $s_1 > s_0$ with $\gamma(s_1)$ also in the interior of $V_- \cup V_+$. This contradicts the maximality of s_0 . Therefore $K - L$ is empty. Hence $K = L$ and so it is connected.

Finally, since $G_0 \subset G_+$ is finitely generated and since $(f|_{\Lambda_+})_{\#} : \pi_1(\Lambda_+) \rightarrow G_+$ is surjective, there exist based loops $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{r_0} : (S^1, 1) \rightarrow (V_+, x_0)$ such that $G_0 = \langle f_{\#}[\delta_1], \dots, f_{\#}[\delta_{r_0}] \rangle$. In particular, each $f \circ \delta_i$ is based homotopic into BG_0 . Since each $f \circ \delta_i$ represents 0 in $\pi_1(BG_+, BG_0)$, by Lemma 3.5 applied r_0 times, we re-choose f so that, further, its restriction to $M := f^{-1}(BG_0)$ is π_1 -surjective. \square

4. PROOFS OF THE EMBEDDING THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Clearly (1.1) is a necessary condition. So now, assume (1.1).

Consider the double mapping cylinder model (3.1). Since G_-, G_0, G_+ are finitely generated and $c : X \rightarrow BG$ classifies a regular cover, by Proposition 3.2, we may re-choose c up to homotopy so that: c is transverse BG_0 , the 3-submanifold preimage M is connected, and the restriction $c_0 : M \rightarrow BG_0$ classifies a regular cover. Write $X = X_- \cup_M X_+$ and $c = c_- \cup_{c_0} c_+$ with restrictions $c_{\pm} : X_{\pm} \rightarrow BG_{\pm}$.

Next, consider the commutative square, with horizontal maps being connecting homomorphisms induced from (3.1) and with vertical maps being of Hurewicz type:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_4^{SO}(BG) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Omega_3^{SO}(BG_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_4(BG) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_3(BG_0) \end{array} \quad ; \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [X, c] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & [M, c_0] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_*[X] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & c_{0*}[M] \end{array}$$

Hence the criterion (1.1) implies: there is a classifying map $d : X_0 \rightarrow BG_0$ with

$$d_*[X_0] = c_{0*}[M] \in H_3(G_0).$$

Since $d_{\#}$ and $c_{0\#}$ are surjective, by Lemma 2.3, there is a 4-dimensional oriented smooth bordism $e : V \rightarrow BG_0$ from (M, c_0) to (X_0, d) made with only 2-handles. Since V is obtained from X_0 using only 2-handles, the inclusion $j : X_0 \rightarrow V$ induces an epimorphism on fundamental groups. Since $d_{\#} = e_{\#} \circ j_{\#}$ is a monomorphism, note that $j_{\#}$ is also a monomorphism. So both $j_{\#}$ and $e_{\#}$ are isomorphisms.

Now, we obtain a connected 5-dimensional oriented compact smooth cobordism

$$T := X \times [0, 1] \cup_{M \times [-1, 1]} V \times [-1, 1]$$

where we regard $M \times [-1, 1]$ in $X \times \{1\}$ and we smooth the corners at $M \times \{-1, 1\}$. The resultant 4-manifold and map are $X' := \partial T - X \times \{0\}$ and $c' := D|_{X'}$, where

$$D : T \rightarrow BG ; \quad \begin{cases} (x, t) \in X \times [0, 1] & \mapsto c(x) \\ (v, s) \in V \times [-1, 1] & \mapsto (e(v), s). \end{cases}$$

Decompose the space $X' = X'_- \cup_{X_0} X'_+$ with $X'_{\pm} = X_{\pm} \cup_M V \times \{\pm 1\} \cup X_0 \times [\pm 1, 0]$, as well as the map $c' = c'_- \cup_d c'_+ : X' \rightarrow BG$ with $c'_{\pm} = c_{\pm} \cup_{c_0} e : X'_{\pm} \rightarrow BG_{\pm}$.

Write $i : M \rightarrow X$ for the inclusion. Since V is the trace of a surgery on a framed oriented link L in M , correspondingly note T is the trace of a surgery

on $i \circ L$ in X . By a similar argument as earlier, we find that the kernel of $c_{0\#}$ equals the kernel of the map induced by the inclusion $M \rightarrow V$, which is generated by the (unbased) components L_k of L , upon anchoring them to the basepoint with choices of connecting paths. In addition, since $c_{0\#} = c_{\#} \circ i_{\#}$ and $c_{\#}$ is an isomorphism, the kernel of $c_{0\#}$ equals the kernel of $i_{\#}$. In particular, each embedded circle L_k is nullhomotopic in X , bounding an immersed disc with transverse double points, which can be isotoped away using finger-moves [FQ90, 1.5]; thus each L_k bounds an embedded disc in X . Another consequence is that $D \simeq c \cup_{c_0} e : X \cup_M V \rightarrow BG$ induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. Then, by an argument with alternating words, each $c'_{\pm} : X'_{\pm} \rightarrow BG_{\pm}$ also does so. So, since $d_{\#}$ is an isomorphism, c' induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups.

Finally, we show that the embedding solution X' is bistably diffeomorphic to X . For each L_k , consider embedded in \mathring{T} the 2-sphere S_k with equator L_k , with northern hemisphere the core of the bounding 2-handle in $V \times \{0\}$, and with southern hemisphere the bounding 2-disc in $X \times \{1\}$. Write N_k for the 5-dimensional closed-tubular neighborhood of S_k in \mathring{T} . Observe that T is diffeomorphic to the boundary-connected sum $(X \times [0, 1]) \natural (\bigsqcup_k N_k)$. Each N_k is diffeomorphic to either $D^3 \times S^2$ or $D^3 \rtimes S^2$, where the latter is the nontrivial (nonspin) disc bundle. Thus, we obtain $X' \approx X \# p(S^2 \times S^2) \# q(S^2 \rtimes S^2)$ for some $p \geq r$ and $q \geq 0$. Since $(S^2 \times S^2) \# (\mathbb{C}P_2) \approx 2(\mathbb{C}P_2) \# (\overline{\mathbb{C}P_2})$ and $S^2 \rtimes S^2 \approx (\mathbb{C}P_2) \# (\overline{\mathbb{C}P_2})$ [Wal64, C1, L1],

$$X'(1, 0) \approx X(1 + p + q, p + q). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $w_2(\tilde{X}) \neq 0$, by the Hurewicz theorem, there exists a spherical class $\tilde{\alpha} : S^2 \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\langle w_2(\tilde{X}), \tilde{\alpha}_*[S^2] \rangle \neq 0$. Write $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ for the covering map, and write $\alpha := p \circ \tilde{\alpha} : S^2 \rightarrow X$. Since on tangent bundles $T\tilde{X} = p^*(TX)$, as one obtains the smooth structure on \tilde{X} by even-covering, note

$$\langle w_2(X), \alpha_*[S^2] \rangle = \langle w_2(X), p_*\tilde{\alpha}_*[S^2] \rangle = \langle p^*w_2(X), \tilde{\alpha}_*[S^2] \rangle = \langle w_2(\tilde{X}), \tilde{\alpha}_*[S^2] \rangle = 1.$$

Do the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, until the construction of the 2-sphere S_k . In the case that the normal bundle of S_k is nontrivial, replace the southern hemisphere with its one-point union with α , smoothed rel L_k into immersion then an embedding by finger-moves, to obtain S'_k . Since $[S'_k] = [S_k] + [\alpha] \in \pi_2(X)$, note

$$\langle w_2(X), S'_{k*}[S^2] \rangle = \langle w_2(X), S_{k*}[S^2] + \alpha_*[S^2] \rangle = 1 + 1 = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Hence the normal 3-plane bundle of the new embedded 2-sphere S'_k in \mathring{T} is trivial. So T is diffeomorphic to the boundary-connected sum $(X \times [0, 1]) \natural (\bigsqcup_{k=1}^r D^3 \times S^2)$. Therefore, we obtain X' is diffeomorphic to $X(r) = X \# r(S^2 \times S^2)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Do the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, except using (1.2) and Lemma 2.5 instead of (1.1) and Lemma 2.3, until the construction of the 2-sphere S_k . Here, the spin structure s_M on M is the restriction of the spin structure s on $X \times \{1\}$, where the spin structure on the normal line bundle is induced from its pullback orientation [LM89, II:2.15]. Since s_M is the restriction of the spin structure on $V \times \{0\}$, we obtain that T has an induced spin structure.

Then, since $w_2(T) = 0$, the normal 3-plane bundle of each S_k in \mathring{T} is trivial. So T is diffeomorphic to the boundary-connected sum $(X \times [0, 1]) \natural (\bigsqcup_{k=1}^r D^3 \times S^2)$. Therefore, we obtain X' is diffeomorphic to $X(r) = X \# r(S^2 \times S^2)$. \square

For clarity, we repeat the following proof from [KLT95b, p258] and [Kre99, p713]. The statement shall be applied in Proof 1.7 for manifolds Y of dimensions 3, 4, 5.

Proof of Lemma 1.5. Since \tilde{Y} is 1-connected, by the Leray–Serre spectral sequence for the homotopy fibration sequence $\tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{p} Y \xrightarrow{u} B\Gamma$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^2(B\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{u^*} H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^2(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}/2)^G.$$

Then, since $w_2(T\tilde{Y}) = w_2(p^*(TY)) = p^*(w_2(TY))$, the oriented smooth manifold \tilde{Y} admits a spin structure if and only if there exists $w_2^u \in H^2(B\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that $u^*(w_2^u) = w_2(TY)$. Further by exactness, this class w_2^u is unique if it exists. \square

For $r \geq 0$, the *pinch map* $p : X(r) \longrightarrow X \vee \#r(S^2 \times S^2)$ gives a degree-one map

$$k := (\text{id} \vee \text{const}) \circ p : X(r) \longrightarrow X.$$

The π_1 -isomorphism $c : X \longrightarrow BG$ induces the π_1 -isomorphism $c \circ k : X(r) \longrightarrow BG$.

Proof of Theorem 1.7: necessity of (1.3). Assume for some $r \geq 0$ that there exists an incompressible embedding $j_0 : X_0 \longrightarrow X(r)$ such that $(c \circ k \circ j_0)_\#(\pi_1 X_0) = G_0$. Then $X(r) = X'_- \cup_{X_0} X'_+$ with inclusions $j_\pm : X'_\pm \longrightarrow X(r)$. Since $X(r)$ and X_0 are connected, so are X'_\pm . Furthermore, since $(c \circ k)_\#$ and $(c \circ k \circ j_0)_\#$ are isomorphisms, by a basic observation on normal form [Smi17], so are $(c \circ k \circ j_\pm)_\# : \pi_1(X'_\pm) \longrightarrow G_\pm$.

Consider the double mapping cylinder model (3.1) of BG , where $Bi_0 : BG_0 \longrightarrow BG$ is the inclusion of a bicollared subspace. Since X_0 is a CW-complex, there is a homotopically unique map $d : X_0 \longrightarrow BG_0$ such that $Bi_0 \circ d \simeq c \circ k \circ j_0$. Furthermore, since X_\pm are CW-complexes, d extends to maps $c_\pm : X'_\pm \longrightarrow BG_\pm \cup BG_0 \times [0, \pm 1]$ with $Bi_\pm \circ c_\pm \simeq c \circ k \circ j_\pm$. Therefore, $c \circ k$ is homotopic to a BG_0 -transverse map $c' := c'_- \cup_d c'_+ : X(r) \longrightarrow BG$ satisfying $(c')^{-1}(BG_0 \times \{0\}) = X_0$.

Next, since \tilde{X} admits a spin structure, by Lemma 1.5, there is a unique class $w_2^c \in H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that $w_2(TX) = c^*(w_2^c)$. Since S^2 is stably parallelizable, so is $S^2 \times S^2$. Then the tangent bundle $TX(r)$ is stably isomorphic to the pullback k^*TX . (The corresponding statement is false for a bistabilization $X(a, b)$ unless $a = 0 = b$.) Hence $w_2(TX(r)) = k^*w_2(TX)$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} d^*(i_0^*w_2^c) &= (i_0 \circ d)^*(w_2^c) = (c \circ k \circ j_0)^*(w_2^c) = j_0^*k^*(c^*w_2^c) \\ &= j_0^*k^*(w_2(TX)) = j_0^*w_2(TX(r)) = w_2(TX_0) = v_2(X_0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with $v_2 = w_2 + w_1^2$ the second Wu class [MS74, 11.14] and $\text{Sq}^2 = 0$ on $H^1(X_0; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. The exact sequence (4.1) holds analogously for X_0 , so $\text{Ker}(d^*) = 0$ hence $i_0^*(w_2^c) = 0$. Thus, there is a nulhomotopy θ of $w_2^c \circ Bi_0$. By Lemma 3.4, we may assume c is transverse to BG_0 in model (3.1), with 3-submanifold $M := c^{-1}(BG_0 \times \{0\})$ of X .

Now, $k : X(r) \longrightarrow X$ extends to a retraction $K : X[r] \simeq X \vee rS^2 \longrightarrow X$, where $X[r] := (X \times [0, 1]) \natural r(D^3 \times S^2)$ is the canonical cobordism from X to $X(r)$. Since c is transverse to BG_0 , so is $c \circ K$. Recall there is homotopy $H : X(r) \times [1, 2] \longrightarrow BG$ such that $H(-, 1) = c \circ k$ and $H(-, 2) = c'$. These unite to a B_0 -transverse map

$$C := (c \circ K) \cup_{c \circ k} H : W := X[r] \cup (X(r) \times [1, 2]) \longrightarrow BG.$$

The preimage 4-manifold $V := C^{-1}(BG_0 \times \{0\})$ fits into an oriented bordism $(V, C|V)$ from $(M, c|M)$ to (X_0, d) . Furthermore, this enhances to a spin bordism, as Definition 1.6 produces a spin structure s_μ^θ on V defined by the formula

$$s_\mu^\theta : V \longrightarrow B\text{Spin} = \text{hofib}(w_2) ; x \longmapsto \left(\tau_V(x), \mu^x * \theta^{C(x)} \right),$$

with $\mu : W \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$ a homotopy from $w_2 \circ \tau_W$ to $w_2^C \circ C$. Indeed, w_2^C exists by Lemma 1.5, since \widetilde{W} has a spin structure as $T\widetilde{W} \cong \widetilde{K}^*T\widetilde{X} \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Define $\eta : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$ as a restriction of μ . So s_μ^θ on V restricts to spin structures s_η^θ on M and $t := s_\mu^\theta|_{X_0}$ on X_0 . Therefore, Equation (1.3) holds. \square

Recall the **homotopy fiber** of a map $f : A \rightarrow B$ with respect to $b_0 \in B$ is

$$\text{hofib}(f) := \{(a, p) \in A \times B^{[0,1]} \mid p(0) = f(a) \text{ and } p(1) = b_0\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7: sufficiency of (1.3). Assume Equation (1.3) holds, where the transverse 3-submanifold $M := c^{-1}(BG_0)$ of X exists by Lemma 3.4, upon altering c by a homotopy. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.2, we can further homotope c so that M is connected and its restriction $c_0 : M \rightarrow BG_0$ is a π_1 -epimorphism. Then the spin bordism (V, Σ, e) from $(M, s_\eta^\theta, c|M)$ to (X_0, t, d) , by Lemma 2.5, can be assumed to only have 2-handles relative to X_0 . From the proof of Theorem 1.1, $e : V \rightarrow BG_0$ is a π_1 -isomorphism, and the map $D \simeq c \cup_{c_0} e : T \rightarrow BG$ is also.

Observe that the spin structure $\Sigma : V \rightarrow B\text{Spin} = \text{hofib}(w_2)$ is of the form

$$\Sigma = \left(\tau_V : V \rightarrow BSO, \sigma : V \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)^{[0,1]} \right),$$

with $\sigma(x) \in K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)^{[0,1]}$ a path from $w_2(\tau_V(x))$ to the basepoint ω of $K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$. Recall that $\theta : BG_0 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$ is a homotopy from $w_2^c \circ Bi_0$ to const_ω . Then define a homotopy $\xi : V \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$ from $w_2 \circ \tau_V$ to $w_2^c \circ Bi_0 \circ e$ by

$$\xi^x := \sigma(x) * \overline{\theta^{e(x)}}.$$

Recall that $\eta : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$ is a homotopy from $w_2 \circ \tau_X$ to $w_2^c \circ c$. This restricts to a homotopy $\eta_0 : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$ from $w_2 \circ \tau_M$ to $w_2^c \circ Bi_0 \circ c_0$. Note ξ extends η_0 , since τ_V extends τ_M and e extends c_0 . Thus, since $T \simeq X \cup_M V$, we obtain a homotopy $\eta \cup_{\eta_0} \xi$ from $w_2 \circ \tau_T$ to $w_2^c \circ D$. Since D classifies the universal cover of the 5-manifold T , by Lemma 1.5, the universal cover \widetilde{T} has a spin structure.

Consider the embedded 2-spheres $S_k : S^2 \rightarrow \mathring{T}$, in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Write $P : \widetilde{T} \rightarrow T$ for the universal covering map. As S^2 is simply connected, by the lifting theorem, there is an embedding $\widetilde{S}_k : S^2 \rightarrow \widetilde{T}$ with $S_k = P \circ \widetilde{S}_k$. Note

$$\langle w_2 T, S_{k*}[S^2] \rangle = \langle w_2 T, P_* \widetilde{S}_{k*}[S^2] \rangle = \langle P^*(w_2 T), \widetilde{S}_{k*}[S^2] \rangle = \langle w_2 \widetilde{T}, \widetilde{S}_{k*}[S^2] \rangle = 0.$$

Then, although T need not be spin, nonetheless the normal 3-plane bundle of each S_k in \mathring{T} is trivial. So T is diffeomorphic to the boundary-connected sum $(X \times [0, 1]) \natural (\bigsqcup_{k=1}^r D^3 \times S^2)$. Therefore X' is diffeomorphic to $X(r) = X \# r(S^2 \times S^2)$. \square

A final remark on (1.1) is that $H_3(G_0) = \mathbb{Z}$ if X_0 is irreducible with infinite fundamental group, as X_0 models BG_0 , a consequence of the sphere theorem [Hem76].

Acknowledgements. The second author is a doctoral student of the first author and thanks him for equal involvement on this stable existence project. His dissertation solves the stable uniqueness problem, using instead the power of Kreck's modified surgery machine [Smi17]. The first author is grateful to his low-dimensional topology professors at U Illinois–Chicago, Louis Kauffman and Peter Shalen, who instilled in him the beauty of knots and of incompressible surfaces in 3-manifolds. This project is a ‘boyhood dream’ to see if that philosophy works up one dimension.

REFERENCES

- [Bow99] Brian H Bowditch. Connectedness properties of limit sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(9):3673–3686, 1999.
- [Bre97] Glen E Bredon. *Topology and geometry*, volume 139 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. Corrected third printing of the 1993 original.
- [Bro72] William Browder. *Surgery on simply-connected manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Band 65.
- [Bro94] Kenneth S Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1982 original.
- [BS90] Gilbert Baumslag and Peter Shalen. Amalgamated products and finitely presented groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 65(2):243–254, 1990.
- [Cap76] Sylvain Cappell. A splitting theorem for manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 33(2):69–170, 1976.
- [CF64] P E Conner and E E Floyd. *Differentiable periodic maps*. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, N. F., Band 33. Academic Press Inc., Publishers, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1964.
- [CS71] Sylvain Cappell and Julius Shaneson. On four dimensional surgery and applications. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 46:500–528, 1971.
- [FQ90] Michael H Freedman and Frank Quinn. *Topology of 4-manifolds*, volume 39 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [Hem76] John Hempel. *3-Manifolds*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1976. *Ann. of Math. Studies*, No. 86.
- [Hil95] Jonathan A Hillman. Free products and 4-dimensional connected sums. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 27(4):387–391, 1995.
- [HK93] Ian Hambleton and Matthias Kreck. Cancellation of hyperbolic forms and topological four-manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 443:21–47, 1993.
- [Kha17] Qayum Khan. Cancellation for 4-manifolds with virtually abelian fundamental group. *Topology Appl.*, 220:14–30, 2017.
- [KLT95a] Matthias Kreck, Wolfgang Lück, and Peter Teichner. Counterexamples to the Kneser conjecture in dimension four. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 70(3):423–433, 1995.
- [KLT95b] Matthias Kreck, Wolfgang Lück, and Peter Teichner. Stable prime decompositions of four-manifolds. In *Prospects in topology (Princeton, NJ, 1994)*, volume 138 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 251–269. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [KM63] Michel A Kervaire and John W Milnor. Groups of homotopy spheres. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 77:504–537, 1963.
- [Kre99] Matthias Kreck. Surgery and duality. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(3):707–754, 1999.
- [Lic62] W B R Lickorish. A representation of orientable combinatorial 3-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 76:531–540, 1962.
- [LM89] H Blaine Lawson, II and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [MS74] John Milnor and James Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, No. 76.
- [RS72] C P Rourke and B J Sanderson. *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Band 69.
- [Smi17] Gerrit N Smith. *Realizing injective splittings of stable 4-manifolds*. PhD thesis, Saint Louis University, 2017. Dissertation defense to occur in April 2017.
- [Tho54] René Thom. Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 28:17–86, 1954.
- [Wal60] Andrew H Wallace. Modifications and cobounding manifolds. *Canad. J. Math.*, 12:503–528, 1960.
- [Wal64] C T C Wall. Diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 39:131–140, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY ST LOUIS MO 63103 U.S.A.
E-mail address: `khanq@slu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY ST LOUIS MO 63103 U.S.A.
E-mail address: `gsmith35@slu.edu`